

Instructions

Should the Applicant disagree with a Metrolinx Response to their Corridor Development Permit Application, they can request to meet with Metrolinx to review their concerns and obtain clarity on the Metrolinx Response. Depending on the outcome of the meeting(s), the Developer may pursue the Metrolinx Response Review Process if they meet one or more of the eligibility criteria described in Section D of this form.

To initiate the Metrolinx Response Review Process, the Developer shall complete and submit this application form within 30 Business Days of receiving the Metrolinx Response. Upon receipt, the submitted form and supporting documentation will be reviewed and assessed. The full review process is expected to take between 20 and 45 Business Days, or longer due to project complexity, if requested by Metrolinx and accepted by the Applicant.

See Section E below for instructions on supporting documentation.

For more information, see Section 3.3.3 of the Metrolinx Adjacent Guideline for Priority Transit Projects.

For Use by Metrolinx

Application number:	Permit number (if different):
Date Application Form received:	Date Application for Review of a Metrolinx Response received:

To be completed by the Applicant

A. Applicant

Applicant is: Owner or Authorized agent of owner

Last Name	First Name	Corporation or partnership
Street Address	Unit number	Lot/con
Municipality	Province	Postal Code
Telephone number	Cell number	E-mail

B. Owner (if different from Applicant)

Last Name	First Name	Corporation or partnership
Street Address	Unit number	Lot/con
Municipality	Province	Postal Code
Telephone number	Cell number	E-mail

C. Project

Project Name:

Project Address:

D. Review Criteria

Select one or more of the following permitted grounds for review:

- A. Non-Decision: A Metrolinx Response has not been provided within 60 Business Days of a submission of a complete application.
- B. Error in Application of Statutory Authority: The Applicant can demonstrate that the Metrolinx Response was beyond the jurisdiction of Metrolinx under the *Building Transit Faster Act, 2020*
Explain why:
- C. Patently Unreasonable: The Applicant can demonstrate that the Metrolinx Response was patently unreasonable given the submitted documents and the reason(s) for the decision.
Explain why:

E. Attach Supporting Documentation

Supporting documentation must not include any new technical documentation, as any new documents will need to go through the Technical Review process by Metrolinx. Supporting documentation are to be marked-up submitted documentation and/or Metrolinx Response that demonstrate why the Applicant believes Metrolinx has made an error in the application of Statutory Authority or why the Metrolinx Response is Patently Unreasonable. Supporting Documentation can include: cover letter, memos or third-party peer reviews.