

## DAVENPORT COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

<b><u>MEETING DATE:</u></b>	July 14, 2016		
<b><u>TIME:</u></b>	5:30 pm – 7:30 pm		
<b><u>LOCATION:</u></b>	LA Centre for Active Living, 55 Rankin Crescent		
<b><u>PRESENT:</u></b>	Anthony Irving	Metrolinx	Anthony.irving@metrolinx.com
	John Potter	Metrolinx	John.potter@metrolinx.com
	Jennifer Capan	Metrolinx	Jennifer.Capan@gotransit.com
	Istvan Szatmari	Metrolinx	Istvan.szatmari@metrolinx.com
	Renee Pettigrew	Metrolinx	Renee.pettigrew@metrolinx.com
	Stefan Tzianetas	Metrolinx	Stefan.tzianetas@metrolinx.com
	Georgina Collymore	Metrolinx	Georgina.collymore@metrolinx.com
	Matt Park	Davenport Village Community Association	
	Laura Zeglen	Options for Davenport	
	Scott Dobson	Friends of the West Toronto Railpath	
	James DeMonte	Residents' Reference Panel Representative	
	Marjolein Winterink	Bloor Improvement Group	
	Francois Baillorge	Ubisoft	
	Rob Gomes	Condo Board	
	Kevin Putnam	Junction Triangle Rail Committee	
	Kanchan Maharaj	City of Toronto	
	Deanne Mighton	City of Toronto	
	Erich Schmidt	Office of MPP Cristina Martins	
	MPP Cristina Martins	MPP for Davenport	
	Robyn Doolittle	Community member	
	Immie Manthei	Residents' Reference Panel Representatives	
	Steve Moniz	TPS 11 Division, Community Relations Officer	
	Rodney Merchant	Cycle TO- Ward 18	
	Andrew Hladkj		
	Ferris Grant	Community member	
	Jake Garrett	Green Line/Park People	

**NOTES:**

<b>Item No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
<b>1.0</b>	<b>Introduction</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Roundtable introductions were completed</li><li>• Purpose of the meeting was shared</li><li>• Anthony welcomed group to the first Davenport Community Advisory Committee Meeting</li></ul>
<b>2.0</b>	<b>Environmental Assessment update</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Clarity regarding the role of the Minister and his ability to accept or object to the proposed project.</li><li>- The availability of submissions or objections made to the minister can be made public at his discretion</li></ul> <p><i>Note: As of August 4, 2016, the TPAP was approved and can proceed as detailed in the EPR.</i></p>
<b>3.0</b>	<b>Station Update</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Construction of the project will be via a qualifications based tender/procurement process; not an open based competition.</li><li>- Will work to a 30% reference concept design, which will be part of the tender package</li><li>- Commitment to not go past 36 trains until the corridor is electrified.</li></ul> <p>Review of design solutions to mitigate noise, vibration and visual impacts</p>
<b>4.0</b>	<b>Suggested topics for future meetings:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Cycling</li><li>- Anti-graffiti strategies</li><li>- Public Art</li><li>- Stewardship</li><li>- Options to mitigate construction impacts</li><li>- Go to Ubisoft for a visit/meeting?</li><li>- Q&amp;A with the community at large to get them involved</li></ul>
<b>5.0</b>	<b>Additional Questions &amp; Answers:</b> <p><b>Q: Does the Minister has the ability to object?</b> A: Yes. The minister will take into consideration all correspondence within the consultation report of the EPR when making his decision.</p> <p><b>Q: Are submission and objections made available to the public?</b> A: That is up to the minister.</p> <p><b>Q: Are there any changes to the public realm?</b> A: No.</p> <p><b>Q: The last response to the rail committee from MX did not answer question of a competition. Where does that request stand?</b> A: We will go public, and the project will be open to anyone who meets the qualifications. This will not be a</p>

Item No.	Description
	<p>closed tender.</p> <p><b>Q: So a tender, not a competition?</b></p> <p>A: Tender is a form of competition. It is not closed off to anyone</p> <p><b>Clarification from John: Currently we don't have an exact position on the design competition. It will be a qualifications based procurement process; not an open based competition.</b></p> <p><b>Q: Are we reconsidering re-doing the design?</b></p> <p>A: We will work to a 30% reference concept design, so we have something when we tender the project. With this committee we have the advantage of local expertise which is what we are relying upon to best inform the design.</p> <p><b>Q: Will stakeholders have the ability to provide input after?</b></p> <p>A: Yes. The reference concept design will allow us to hold them to the deliverables. Essentially, the CAC sets the rules for procurement or the "design competition".</p> <p><b>Q: I hear all this stuff about design bur I'm concerned about N&amp;V. Design is nice but what are we doing about pollution and helping people to sleep at night and enjoy their homes?</b></p> <p>A: Design excellence is not just about look, but building a durable structure that meets the needs of the community for a hundred years.</p> <p><b>Q: what about mitigation for diesel and electric trains?</b></p> <p>A: We will not go past 36 trains until the corridor is electrified.</p> <p><b>Q: What about experts? At future meeting I'd like to have a noise expert comes speak to us in plain English to explain the sound under the structure and compare it to the sound of electric trains. The report is great, but it's difficult to understand and comprehend.</b></p> <p>A: We will work to get a sound expert to come speak with you.</p> <p><b>Comment: Goal is to hire more people at Ubisoft. We now have 450, hope to bring that number to 800.</b></p> <p><b>Comment: We need to know how loud it will be under the bridge so we know what will work in that space. Will it be too loud to host movie nights or to meet for lunch?</b></p> <p><b>Comment: Also, how far will the noise travel? The noise walls for UP don't absorb noise, right now for people who live across from the corridor the traffic from Dundas reflects off the walls causing even more noise. We need to be mindful of this. Also, will the skylight let in more noise?</b></p> <p><b>Comment: Bring in an expert on ballast mats to explain how they work and how they can help mitigate</b></p>

Item No.	Description
	<p><b>noise.</b></p> <p><b>Q: How much light will reflect? Too much? What about birds flying into the structure?</b>  A: There are design solutions to mitigate these issues.</p> <p><b>Q: How much for public realm? We need to know how much money there is so we can properly go through this process. And how is the city involved?</b>  A: We are mandated by council to be here. To makes sure certain items are integrated into the master agreement.</p> <p><b>Comment: Happy to have the TPS here to understand what things need to be considered from a policing perspective. Are there things we can do to encourage positive loitering?</b></p> <p><b>Q: has there been cooperation from CP?</b>  A: We have a relationship with them and they are involved. Stefan will bring to their attention need to clean up neglected areas of greenspace along their corridor.</p>

*These meeting notes represent the writer's understanding of the major items of discussion and the decisions reached and/or actions required. Any errors, omissions, or concerns regarding the notes captured should be brought to the attention of the undersigned individual within 5 business days of receiving these notes.*

Prepared By: Georgina Collymore