

KEEP AS NEW	REPLY	REPLY ALL	FORWARD	ACTION	DELETE	SPAM				
-------------	-------	-----------	---------	--------	--------	------	--	--	--	--

Park Lawn Go Stop rejection

From dhender367 [REDACTED] hide details

Tue, Jun 21, 2016 6:51 pm

To dhender367 [REDACTED]
Councillor Mark Grimes [REDACTED]
Wendy McNaughton [REDACTED]
James.Maloney.C1 [REDACTED]

Cc timowen8 [REDACTED] Jim Reekie [REDACTED]
Tom Arkay [REDACTED] L Nash [REDACTED]
Jim Faichnie [REDACTED]

To our 3 levels of political reps (copy to HBSCA Board Executive)....

Mark, Peter and James:

This is astonishing and upsetting news! What are you, and we, prepared to do now?? HBSCA may be calling a Town Hall meeting as a result of this rejection. We asked for a GO STOP .. Not a full Station. Development planning has resulted in a traffic and transportation mess but a very healthy concentrated tax base in 2.5 km of affronting roadway as well as Section 37/42/45 and development charges passed on to condo owners. With "planning" by City/Province more condos yet to be completed will mean a population nearing 28 to 30 thousand residents. Do you not think we are deserving of better infrastructure (traffic, etc)?? Maybe you can record your response in the next issue of HUMBER HAPPENINGS?

Don Henderson
President HBSCA

The reason for Park Lawn pass on Go Stop is said to be ...

"The following is the reason for rejecting a Park Lawn station. It comes from page 17 of the "GO Regional Express Rail Update".

Lakeshore West

- **Park Lawn (near Lakeshore Blvd. W)**
 - o Considered as alternative to Mimico GO station; potential performance is similar to Mimico GO station; advantages of